



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,099	03/30/2004	Patrick E. Patterson	07650003C1	3091
23345	7590 10/04/2006		EXAMINER	
MCGUIREWOODS, LLP			SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI	
1750 TYSON SUITE 1800	S BL A D		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, V	A 22102		2157	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,099 PATTERSON, PATR		ATRICK E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Salad E. Abdullahi	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	nddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN (36(a). In no event, however, may awill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO (a), cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	une 2006.		
, 	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		atters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under the			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>74-101</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>74-101</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		4	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have bee	n received in this Nationa	al Stage
application from the International Burea	*		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)	A []	. Cumman (DTO 442)	
1)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		f Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/812,099

Art Unit: 2157

Response

1. The response filed on 6/28/2006 has been received and made of record.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 74-101 have been fully considered and are found to be persuasive. However, double patenting rejections will be maintained until terminal disclaimer is filed.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/812,099 Page 3

Art Unit: 2157

4. Claims 74-102 are rejected are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-78 of U. S. Patent No. 6,751,670. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because other than minor obvious variations the comparison made to independent claims 74, 75 and 90 of the instant application and independent claims 27, 32 and 66 of the patented claims reveal that independent claims 27, 32 and 66 of the patented claims are simply species of the broader claims 74, 75 and 90 of the instant application.

Hence, claims 74, 75, 90 and 101 of the instant application are generic to the species of the invention covered by claims 27, 32 and 66 of the patent. Thus, the broad generic invention is anticipated by the narrower of the species of the patented invention, thus without a terminal disclaimer, the species claims preclude issuance of the generic application. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

As per claims dependent claims 76-89, 91-100 and 102 see dependent claims 28-31, 33-44.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/812,099

Art Unit: 2157

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salad E. Abdullahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4009. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.
- 7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abdullahi Salad Primary examiner 9/30/2006